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Definitions (in brief)

Risk Assessment: The use of various tools or instruments typically based on scientific evidence, to estimate an offenders’ potential for reoffending or causing harm to others and potential causes or sources of that risk.

Actuarial: Actuarial risk assessment measures are developed in much the same way as insurance actuarial tables. Variables or factors that are statistically linked with increased risk of an outcome (in this case, sexual reoffending) are scored together to produce a probability estimate against a comparison group. For sex offender risk, these may include a combination of both historical events and treatment-related information. Examples include the Static-99R (Hanson & Thornton, 1999; 2009), the LS/CMI (Andrews et al., 2004) and the SORAG (Quinsey et al., 1998).

Structured Guides: Structured guides combine standardized questions and scoring procedures, often from actuarial measures, with clinical or professional judgment. Some guides incorporate actuarial scale scores. Examples include the SVR-20 (Boer et al., 1997), RSVP (Hart et al., 2003), and the ERASOR (Worling & Curwen, 2001).

SO Risk Assessment

The assessment of risk and needs associated with reoffending is an essential component of sex offender risk management and treatment. Risk assessment informs all participants in the criminal justice system, from police to treatment providers, of the future likelihood of certain individuals to cause harm. For example, it informs decisions on

- the level of supervision police provide certain individuals,
- the length and type of sentence a person might receive,
- supervision requirements, and the type and intensity of treatment.

Thorough risk assessment involves gathering and verifying information, interviewing offenders and collaterals, and applying standardized and validated tools to summarize an offender’s risk level. There are risk assessment tools for general criminal behavior and tools specific to sexual offending. Risk assessment procedures typically involve analyzing static (historical items, not amenable to change) and dynamic risk factors (criminogenic needs, amenable to change), to inform risk prediction, case management, and treatment targets and/or options.

Considerations

Research demonstrates that actuarial methods are consistent in predicting relative risk of criminal behavior (relative to other offenders) and are considerably more accurate than unstructured professional judgment.
for sexual, violent, or other recidivism (Hanson & Morton-Bourgon, 2009). Reminiscent of all of the important research in applied fields, there has been significant debate in this area. For example, Walters and colleagues (2009) identified three main critiques of sexual offender actuarial risk measures: (a) that they are based on previously measured variables (such as age, number of victims, and so on) instead of theory, (b) that base rates vary due to updated information on offense type, recidivism definition, and follow up periods, and (c) that these measures are sometimes used on different populations than were part of the original development sample. These and other questions such as which instrument to use when, the stability of absolute recidivism rates, and whether specific subgroups have unique risk factors not yet addressed in established risk assessment tools, are the current focus of research in this area.

Due to the heterogeneity of these clients, no one tool has proved superior to the others in terms of predictive accuracy. Research on risk assessment, cultural and ethnicity differences, and specific subgroups such as mentally disordered and/or intellectually disabled offenders is ongoing. Preliminary research in these areas suggests that some of the current tools may apply, but must be used with caution (Craig, 2010). Research findings on structured guides is promising, but still in its formative years.

**Current Research Highlights**

The development and validation of risk assessment tools is regularly evolving, building on the need for improved predictive accuracy, utility, and integration with case-management. Due to this increased need and attention, there are many thoughtful and thorough articles. The following list highlights a few of the different topics under evaluation and applicable recent publications (for full listing of the articles, see the bibliography):

- Underlying theories and the nature of development: Harris & Hanson, 2010; Andrews & Bonta, 2010.
- Best practices for understanding and utilizing risk assessments: Kemshall, 2011; Craig & Beech, 2009
- Examples of research on risk and specific subgroups: Babchishin, Blais, & Helmus (2012); Craig, 2010

**What Promotes Successful Risk Assessment?**

As stated previously, risk assessment informs all participants in the criminal justice system, from police to treatment providers, of the risk and needs associated reoffending, to inform case management. To ensure appropriate application and scoring of risk assessment tools and limit the rate of false positive or negative predictions, Craig & Beech (2009) recommend that practitioners know the methodology underlying the tools as well as possible application errors. The authors suggest that best practice involves the understanding of risk assessment concepts and factors known to affect actuarial estimates, such as low base rates, age, and downgrading of charges. Harris & Hanson (2010) emphasize the need for quality control of these tools and their application through regular structured discussions such as peer reviews and mentorships.
Summary

The prediction of reoffending is an essential component of sex offender risk management and treatment. The ongoing development and validation of risk assessment tools speaks to the necessity of using current evidence-based measures in a highly litigious area. In addition, the application of these tools requires rigor and ongoing support.

Additional Resources

- http://www.static99.org/
- http://www.wisspd.org/htm/ATPracGuides/Training/ProgMaterials/Ch980s/CISORRA.pdf
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